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Stephen Atkinson, City of Tacoma

Public Access Vision(s)

- Shoreline Master Program
  - Adopted 1976
  - "Encourage development of a system of vistas, view areas, scenic drives, trails and bike paths to take advantage of the siting of Tacoma and its unique relationship to Puget Sound."

Tacoma’s Shoreline Then and Now

Shoreline Management Act

- Gives priority to three overarching goals:
  - Protect and preserve the natural resources of State Shorelines
  - Ensure a sufficient land supply for water-dependent uses
  - Expand opportunities for water-enjoyment and public access
- Public Trust Doctrine
Access is More Than a Walkway

Recreational Fishing
Kayak Hand Launch Site
Industrial Viewing Areas

Existing Access Map

Issues Identified During Process

- How much access is enough?
- Effectiveness of past implementation?

Shoreline Accessibility – How Much?

Implementation Disconnect

- Permit review not tied into plans
- Private development
- Suboptimal outcomes

Proposed Access
Catch – 22 for Access

1. Where we expect development
2. No access is desired
3. Where access is desired
4. No development is expected

Case Study: West Slope

Current Accessibility

Proposed Access

Case Study: S-10 Port Area

Current Accessibility

Proposed Access

Alternatives

- Fee In Lieu
  - Project specific review
  - Subject to nexus and proportionality tests
  - City takes on the responsibility of providing/enhancing/maintaining the access mitigation
  - Advance Mitigation/Mitigation Plan
  - Public Agency Public Access Master Plans

Benefits to this Approach

- 'System' approach enhances all access sites
  - Waterfront identity
  - Connectivity
  - 'Dream on it'
  - Flexible certainty
  - Efficient and coordinated allocation of resources
  - Identifies a diversity of access sites for people of differing abilities, interests, and desires
Shoreline Public Access Plan
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Shoreline Public Access Plan
Background
- Port’s previous approach to public access
- Safety and security waivers
- Provisions in the WAC and Tacoma’s locally adopted SMP enable public agencies to develop their own public access plans in lieu of permit by permit requirements.

Shoreline Public Access Plan
Goals
- Provide a streamlined and predictable permitting process
- Provide shoreline access in appropriate and desirable locations
- Increase public awareness of the Port
Consistency
• Port of Tacoma Strategic Plan 2012-2022
• Port of Tacoma Port-Wide Habitat Mitigation Strategy
• City of Tacoma Shoreline Master Program

Key Policies
• Priorities
• Partnerships
• Tenant Improvements
• Consistent and compatible with Strategic Plan
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Shoreline Public Access Plan

Implementation
• Credit for existing sites
• Enhancement of existing sites
• New Sites
• Potential future sites

Shoreline Public Access Plan
Future Sites

Shoreline Public Access Plan
Next Steps

• Committee: Port Commission and City Council
• TBD – Port Commission Public Hearing and adoption of plan by Resolution
• Draft ILA or similar mechanism

Questions?

Gog-le-hi-te

Observation Tower
Place of Circling Waters

Julia’s Gulch
Public Access and Shoreline Master Programs
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Kim Van Zwalenburg
Shorelands and Environmental Assistance Program
Department of Ecology, Southwest Regional Office

Washington has 28,000 miles of coastal, inland marine, lake and stream shorelines that make our state a special place to live.

• Our sense of place
• Where we live
• Where we play
• Our economy
• Our history

Regulatory & legal context

- Public Trust Doctrine
- Coastal Zone Management Act
- Washington Shoreline Management Act

The Shoreline Management Act of 1971 - Chapter 90.58 RCW -

- In effect for over 40 years
- Designed as a cooperative state/local partnership
- Responding to an initiative, the State Legislature adopted an alternative proposal that took effect June 1971
  
  — “prevent the inherent harm in an uncoordinated and piecemeal development of the state's shorelines.”

SMA Policies

- Priority for uses that require a shoreline location
- Protection of shoreline natural resources
- Promotion of public access and enjoyment

Local Shoreline Master Program

- Principle vehicle for managing shorelines
- The SMP consists of:
  - A local plan for managing shorelines tailored to the needs of the community
  - A system of shoreline designations
  - Policies and Development regulations
  - Maps
  - A System of administration and permitting
- Must be consistent with the Shoreline Act and the Guidelines
What is public access?
The ability of the general public to:
• reach, touch, and enjoy the water’s edge
• travel on the waters of the state
• view the water and shoreline from adjacent locations

Identify public access needs
• Explore actions to enhance shoreline recreation facilities.
  – Type and amount of current access.
  – Population growth.
  – Desires of residents and visitors.

SMPs should:
• Protect & enhance public access through policies & regulations.
• Address public access on public lands.
• Seek to increase amount & diversity of public access to shorelines.
• Require public entities to provide public access as part of development project.

Public access standards required for:
• Water-enjoyment, water-related and nonwater-dependent uses and subdivision of land into 5 or more parcels
• Exceptions for:
  – safety, impact to the shoreline environment or legal limitations
  – more effective public access through public access planning process

Map information and consider all elements together
Ecological functions
Shoreline uses/modifications
Public access
Identify “opportunities” for:
• Environmental protection
• Restoration of degraded areas
• Promoting water-dependent uses
• Protecting and enhancing public access

Don’t forget views
Challenges and concerns:

• safety and security of private property
• ‘takings’
• ‘nexus and proportionality’
• Damage to fragile habitats
• Trespass & liability

Different planning approaches

• Comprehensive public access plan (Tacoma)
• Level of service analysis (Chelan County & cities)
• Gap analysis and priority areas (King County)
• Regional public access plan (Lacey, Olympia, Tumwater)

Tacoma’s Shoreline Then (1977) and Now (2006)

For more information:
Visit Ecology’s SEA Program web site at:


Kim Van Zwalenburg
Kim.vanzwalenburg@ecy.wa.gov or (360) 407-6520