

ha

Both States say: "Cool It" Oregon GHG Reduction Planning Update

Bob Cortright Oregon Department of Land nservation and Development October 20, 201



Metropolitan Scenario Planning Objective: Figure out what it will take to meet GHG goals Combination of actions that is most

- effective, most beneficial
- At vision/concept level
- Like Metro 2040 Concept Plan
- Informs:
 - Legislative dialogue about targets, state actions, next steps
 - Plan updates, local actions

	HB 2001 &	SB 1059	
The webber		Scenario Planning?	Meet Targets?
	Portland Metro	Required	Required
	Eugene- Springfield	Required	Optional
	Other metropolitan areas	Optional	Optional
H	Oregon Transport:	ation Summit	September 2011





Scenario Planning Funding Report

- January 2011
- Conclusions
 - Each metropolitan area will need \$250,000 -\$1.5 million to conduct scenario planning
 - Preliminary estimate only depends on guidelines and targets





Metropolitan GHG Reduction Targets

- Target Rule adopted by LCDC May 2011
- Sets per capita GHG reduction targets for six metropolitan areas
 - Portland, Salem-Keizer, Eugene-Springfield, Rogue Valley, Bend & Corvallis
 - 17-21% reduction per capita from 2005 levels
 - In addition to reductions from expected improvements in vehicle technology, fleet and fuels

Oregon Transportation Summit



Key Points

- Targets may be met by increasing adoption of technology as well as reducing amount of driving (vmt)
- State has key role to:
 - take actions to help reduce metropolitan emissions
 - help with scenario planning
- External travel is a big challenge
- LCDC commits to review targets at regular intervals starting in 2015

tation Summit September 2



GHG Reduction Toolkit Best Practices for GHG reduction

- Local & regional programs and actions
 Provide examples
 - Document GHG reduction effects
 - Identify benefits/ co-benefits
- Recommend Analysis and Modeling Tools
- In progress, Draft this Fall

Oregon Transportation Summit September 2011













Portland Metro Only

= Guides "cooperative selection" of preferred scenario

Sets minimum planning standards & assumptions

- Cycle for local plan adoption and updates
- Status: LCDC expected to appoint rulemaking advisory committee (RAC) later this year















Why Scenario Planning?

It's the co-benefits!

- More livable communities
- Reduced spending on foreign oil
- Reduced infrastructure costs
- Reduced transportation costs
- Improved public health, reduced heath care costs
- Better air quality

on Transportation Summit Sentember 201



Acknowledge good stuff

- UGBs, compact development, expanded transit, planning for alternatives
- We're already driving less, emitting less
- Evaluate existing plans
- How far will they get us? (with expected state & federal policies) Measure other outcomes/issues











Contraction of the	Gen Y Driving Less – Much Less Percent Change in VMT and PMT						
	(] Survey Year)9)5, 2(Avera; () 16-30) O L, 2 ge Annua per persor 31-55	009 IVMT n) 56+	NH1S 16-30) % Chang (3) 655 	e 56+
and in the local division of	1995	9,872	12,446	7,081	-		-
	2001	9,748	12,892	7,951	-1.25	3.58	12.28
HORE STORES	2009	7,319	11,493	7,787	-24.9	-10.8	-2.06
	Orego	m Transportatio	n Summit		Septe	mber 2011	

Reducing transportation emissions and Washington Governor's Executive Order 09-05

Brian Smith, AICP Director, Strategic Planning, WSDOT



APA joint OR/WA meeting Portland, Oregon October 20, 2011



Transportation accounts for 47% of greenhouse gas in Washington

Washington Greenhouse Gas Emissions

U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions



2005 data - Source: Leading the Way on Climate Change: the Challenge of Our Time, February 2008, Washington State Dept. of Ecology Publication #08-01-008



Climate Change Chronology

- 2007
 - o Legislation
 - Executive Order 07-02 climate challenge and Climate Advisory Team 1& Climate Change Challenge
 - Statewide analysis of preparation needed for climate impacts
- 2008
 - o Legislation
 - Climate Advisory Team 2
 - VMT benchmarks (RCW 47.01.440) & GHG reduction goals set (RCW 70.235.020)
 - TIWG and LUCC Advisory Committee

- 2009
 - o Legislation
 - Executive Order 09-05
 Washington's Leadership on Climate Change
 - o RCW 19.27A.190
- 2010
 - 09-05 2(a) Report to
 Legislature and Governor
 - Begin work on statewide climate change vulnerability assessment
- 2011
 - 09-05 2(b)Work with regional transportation planning organizations on strategies to reduce VMT and GHG



Climate Change Legislation

Legislation in 2008 and 2009 specify sustainable transportation, GHG emissions and vehicle miles traveled (VMT) requirements of WSDOT:

- RCW 19.27A.190 directs WSDOT to report energy usage to assess the need for energy audits.
- RCW 43.16.648 (4) requires WSDOT to install outlets for electric vehicle charging in our state's fleet parking and maintenance facilities.
- RCW 43.21M.010 instructs the department to participate in the development of a statewide integrated climate change response strategy.
- RCW 47.01.440 established VMT reduction benchmarks and assigns specific implementation, monitoring, economic assessment and analysis tasks to WSDOT.
- RCW 70.235.020 established GHG emissions reduction goals for the state.
- RCW 70.235.050 directs WSDOT to quantify and reduce our GHG emissions to achieve state agency's mandatory targets.



GHG/VMT Reduction Goals

- 2007 Senate Bill 6001 (RCW 70.235.020)
 - Washington's greenhouse gas emissions reduction goals:
 - 1990 levels by 2020
 - 25% below 1990 levels by 2035
 - 50% below 1990 levels by 2050

State's baseline = 94.6 million metric tons CO2 equivalent

• 2008 House Bill 2815 (RCW 47.01.440)

- Per capita VMT benchmarks (for vehicles under 10,000 lbs) based on 75B VMT forecast for 2020
 - 18% reduction by 2020
 - 30% reduction by 2035
 - 50% reduction by 2050



Emission reduction strategies

Improve fuel

- Support lower fuel carbon content; find and invest in alternative fuels

Advance vehicle technology

 Support <u>improved vehicle technology</u>; encourage energy efficiency and nonpetroleum powered engines

System efficiency

 Operate our transportation system to <u>maximize efficiency and improve traffic flow;</u> coordinate with our partnering agencies to do the same

Increase options, reduce vehicle miles traveled

- Support <u>efficient transportation options</u> like carpooling; working from home; riding a bus, train or bicycle; walking; or telecommuting.

PLUS

Land use

- <u>Connect land use and transportation</u>. Leverage transportation investments to encourage land uses accessible to alternative travel options



Executive Order 09-05 2(a) What is required?

In consultation with the Departments of Ecology and Commerce, and in collaboration with local governments, business, and environmental representatives,

- Estimate current and future state-wide levels of vehicle miles traveled,
- Evaluate potential changes to the vehicle miles traveled benchmarks established in RCW 47.01.440 as appropriate to address low- or noemission vehicles, and
- Develop additional strategies to reduce emissions from the transportation sector.
- Report findings and recommendations to the Governor by December 31, 2010;



Executive Order 09-05 2(a) – Approach

Established a Executive Order Working Group

Studies/Analysis Included

- Washington Climate Action Team transportation policy options analysis (December, 2007);
- Transportation Role in Reducing U.S. GHG Emissions: Report To Congress (April, 2010)
- Moving Cooler (July, 2009)
- Harvard Kennedy School Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs Study, Analysis of Policies To Reduce Oil Consumption and Greenhouse-Gas Emissions from the US Transportation Sector (February, 2010)
- EPA Analysis of the Transportation Sector Greenhouse Gas and Oil Reduction Scenarios (February, 2010)
- U.C. Berkeley Study: Review of Modeling Analysis of Transit, Land Use, and Auto Pricing Strategies to Reduce VMT and GHG Emissions, C. Rodier, for CARB and Caltrans (October, 2009)
- PSRC T-2040 Modeling Analysis



Executive Order 09-05 2(a)– Findings and Recommendations- 1

Current Vehicle Miles Traveled

- HPMS is an appropriate tool to monitor VMT statewide.
- HPMS may also be an appropriate tool for monitoring VMT at the local and regional levels.

• Estimating Future Vehicle Miles Traveled

- The statutory VMT benchmarks in RCW 47.01.440 used a baseline of 75 billion VMT for 2020.
- This baseline for 2020 was established by the February 2008 VMT forecast and serves as the basis for the VMT per capita reductions benchmarks in 2020, 2035, and 2050.
- Based on a new methodology developed specifically for forecasting VMT, the June 2010 forecast projects total statewide VMT in 2020 to be 66 billion. WSDOT will update the VMT forecast annually each June.

Recommendation

WSDOT recommends that the legislature use historical, measured VMT (e.g., 2000, 2005, or 2010 levels), rather than forecasted VMT, to set the VMT baseline.



Executive Order 09-05 2(a) – Findings and Recommendations- 2

Do the VMT benchmarks need to be changed to address low or no-emission vehicles?

- Ecology's research showed that projected vehicle technology and fuel changes will occur relatively slowly.
- The rate at which significant vehicle and fuel technology advances and regulatory changes are likely to happen over the next 40 years is highly uncertain.

Recommendation

WSDOT recommends that the VMT benchmarks should not be changed at this time to address low- or no-emission vehicles.



Executive Order 09-05 2(a)– Findings and Recommendations- 3

Greenhouse gas reduction strategies from the transportation sector fit into four broad categories:

- · Operating the system more efficiently
- Advancing vehicle technology
- Improving fuels
- Reducing VMT

There is no silver bullet

- Major contributions from each of the strategies are needed to reduce GHGs
- Many transportation sector strategies would require changes in policy, funding, and authority
- The state cannot significantly reduce emissions from the transportation sector without collaborative and comprehensive actions by private citizens, businesses, and regional and local governments.

Implementing combinations of aggressive transportation emission reduction strategies can achieve roughly a 10% reduction in total statewide GHG emissions compared to the 2050 baseline. Did not assess the political or financial feasibility of implementing the strategies

Recommendation

WSDOT recommends that the state consider the most viable ways to reduce statewide GHG emissions across all sectors. In 2011, WSDOT will continue to work with the four largest RTPOs identified in the Executive Order as part of the Section 2(b) work, which would further inform practical approaches for reducing GHG emissions at the regional level.



Executive Order 09-05 2(b) What is required?

Work with the Puget Sound Regional Council, Spokane Regional Transportation Council, Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council and Thurston Regional Planning Council to cooperatively develop and adopt regional transportation plans that will:

- Provide people with additional transportation alternatives and choices,
- Reduce greenhouse gases and
- Achieve the statutory benchmarks to reduce annual per capita vehicle miles traveled
- In those counties with populations greater than 245,000.

By December 1, 2011, the Department will report to the Governor on which regional transportation planning organizations have developed, or are developing, plans with greenhouse gas strategies, which strategies appear to have the greatest potential to achieve the benchmarks, and what policy or funding issues need to be resolved to ensure implementation.



Governor's Executive Order 09-05, Section 2(b): Survey Responses

- 2(b) follows work from last year looking at statewide GHG/VMT reduction from transportation
- 2(b) work and survey focused on 4 RTPOs
 - Puget Sound Regional Council
 - Spokane Regional Transportation Council
 - Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council
 - Thurston Regional Planning Council
- Survey addresses (current transportation plan and upcoming plan update)
 - plans with GHG reduction strategies,
 - which strategies appear to have the greatest potential to achieve the benchmarks,
 - what policy or funding issues need to be resolved to ensure implementation



Governor's Executive Order 09-05, Section 2(b): Survey Responses Continued

- Survey responses mainly reflect conditions of existing plans which pre-date climate change interest and activity
- Except *Transportation 2040*, GHG/VMT reduction not reflected in plans, but relate strongly to congestion reduction strategies
- Survey responses to serve as the basis of 2(b) report due in December



Governor's Executive Order 09-05, Section 2(b): Survey Responses, Continued

- GHG reduction strategies categorized into 3 broad groupings
 - Active transportation and transportation demand management
 - Examples: expanding bicycle and pedestrian networks to complete crucial gaps, removing hazardous crossings, extending network connections to underserved communities, commute trip reduction programs, intelligent transportation systems, carpool/vanpool and rideshare, complete streets
 - Transit expansion
 - Examples: high-capacity transportation policies and infrastructure such as right-of-way corridor agreements, access, and facilities that further enhance efficient growth, development, and transportation services
 - Growth management/land use
 - Examples: encouraging infill development and density, especially when combined with proper parking requirements, mixed-uses, and transit, bicycle, and pedestrian access



Governor's Executive Order 09-05, Section 2(b): Survey Responses, Continued

- Strategies with the greatest potential for achieving the VMT benchmarks
 - Package of transportation alternatives combined with land use measures

Examples: Growth and Transportation Efficiency Centers, mixed-use re/development, Complete Streets, multimodal street standards, street connectivity, high capacity transportation connecting activity centers

 Significant potential from marketing and tolling strategies
 Examples: Spokane region alternative transportation marketing program and Puget Sound region tolling strategy



Governor's Executive Order 09-05, Section 2(b): Survey Responses, Continued

- Policy and funding issues
 - Land use and transportation planning relationship: governance and decision making
 - Examples: Difficulty in managing land use patterns and transportation system, limited ability for maximizing efficiency and sustainability of future land use decisions
 - Stable, sufficient funding
 - Examples: Limited and competitive funding of present economic climate, decreased funding source(s), limited alternative transportation funding



Questions? More information?

- Key contributors to the EO 09-05 2(a) and (b) reports included Kathy Leotta, Karin Landsberg, Jason Beloso, Keith Cotton
- <u>http://www.wsdot.wa.gov/SustainableTransportation/</u> <u>report.htm</u>
- Brian J. Smith, AICP Director, Strategic Planning <u>smithb@wsdot.wa.gov</u>



















Project	tracks		and the
	Understand Choices Phase 1 (2011)	Shape Direction Phase 2 (2012)	Build and Select Strategy Phase 3 (2013-14)
Technical & policy analysis	 Evaluation framework Research policy levers and strategies Tool development and integration 	 Evaluation framework Alternative scenarios Tool integration & sensitivity testing 	 Preferred scenario Update regional plans and policies
Communications & engagement	 Opinion research Literature review Stakeholder interviews Regional summit Best practices research 	Design workshops Other TBD	 Public comment period Regional summit Other TBD
Tools	Metropolitan GreenSTEP Literature review	Metropolitan GreenSTEP Envision Tomorrow	Metropolitan GreenSTEP Regional travel model MetroScope MOVES
	We ar	e here.	Metro



05 levels)	
Metropolitan Area	Adopted Target
Portland Metro**	20%
Eugene-Springfield*	20%
Salem-Keizer	17%
Rogue Valley	19%
Bend	18%
Corvallis	21%

















Preliminary findings: Summary

- Current plans and policies are effective, but not enough
- Targets are achievable but will take effort, bold action and partnerships
- We have choices, but there is no "silver bullet"
- Combinations of strategies provide the greatest number of options
- We can't do it alone State and Federal actions are needed to meet target
- Sequencing will be important

Netro



Preliminary findings...

- 1. Most scenarios meet or exceed target
- Technology and fleet alone do not meet target
- Moderate pricing and community design (Level 2) together do not meet target
- Most ambitious community design (Level 3) provides one scenario that meets the target

A Metro

...preliminary findings

 Most ambitious community design (Level 3) provides many options when combined with tech and fleet



- 6. Combinations of tech and fleet with moderate community design and pricing (Level 2) provide the most options
- 7. Marketing provides additional options, especially in combination with community design













































Conclusions

- 20 percent light-duty target by 2035
- Define and commit to vision
- Identify "plausible" options
- Co-beneficial opportunities (public health, equity, community health, oil independence, etc.)
- Continue implementation of 2040 Plan
- Local implementation will be key
- Measure what matters

🚷 Metro

